Friday, October 17, 2025

## EE 381 Electric and Magnetic Fields Quiz #6 (Fall 2025)

Name <u>KEY A</u>

Instructions: **Open book & notes.** Place answers in indicated spaces and show all work for full/partial credit. For the vector field  $\overline{T} = -3r\,\hat{a}_r + \frac{4}{\sin\theta}\,\hat{a}_\theta$  (tables/m), determine:

a) The divergence of  $\overline{T}$ .

$$\operatorname{div} \overline{T} = \overline{\nabla} \cdot \overline{T} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial (r^2 T_r)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial (\sin \theta T_\theta)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial T_\phi}{\partial \phi}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial (-3r^3)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial (4)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial (0)}{\partial \phi}$$

$$= \frac{-3(3r^2)}{r^2} + 0 + 0 = -9$$

div  $\overline{T} = \overline{\nabla} \cdot \overline{T} = -9 \text{ (tables/m}^2\text{)}$ 

b) The curl of  $\overline{T}$ .

$$\operatorname{curl} \, \overline{T} = \overline{\nabla} \times \overline{T}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \hat{a}_r \left\{ \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left[ \frac{\partial \left( \sin \theta \, T_{\phi} \right)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial T_{\theta}}{\partial \phi} \right] \right\} + \hat{a}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial T_r}{\partial \phi} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \left( r T_{\phi} \right)}{\partial r} \right] + \hat{a}_{\phi} \left\{ \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{\partial \left( r T_{\theta} \right)}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial T_r}{\partial \theta} \right] \right\} \\ &= \hat{a}_r \left\{ \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left[ \frac{\partial \left( 0 \right)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial \left( \frac{4}{\sin \theta} \right)}{\partial \phi} \right] \right\} + \hat{a}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \left( -3r \right)}{\partial \phi} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \left( 0 \right)}{\partial r} \right] + \hat{a}_{\phi} \left\{ \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{\partial \left( \frac{4r}{\sin \theta} \right)}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial \left( -3r \right)}{\partial \theta} \right] \right\} \\ &= \hat{a}_r \left\{ \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left[ 0 - 0 \right] \right\} + \hat{a}_{\theta} \left[ 0 - 0 \right] + \hat{a}_{\phi} \left\{ \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{4}{\sin \theta} - 0 \right] \right\} = \frac{4}{r \sin \theta} \hat{a}_{\phi} \end{split}$$

curl 
$$\overline{T} = \overline{\nabla} \times \overline{T} = \frac{4}{r \sin \theta} \hat{a}_{\phi} \text{ (tables/m}^2\text{)}$$

c) Is  $\overline{T}$  solenoidal (or divergenceless)? Yes / No (circle correct)

Why? 
$$\overline{\nabla} \cdot \overline{T} = -9 \text{ (tables/m}^2) \neq 0$$

d) Is  $\overline{T}$  irrotational (or potential)? Yes / No (circle correct)

Why? 
$$\overline{\nabla} \times \overline{T} = \frac{4}{r \sin \theta} \hat{a}_{\phi} \text{ (tables/m}^2) \neq 0$$

e) Is  $\overline{T}$  conservative? Yes / No (circle correct)

Why? 
$$\overline{\nabla} \times \overline{T} \neq 0$$
 implies by Stoke's theorem that  $\oint_L \overline{T} \cdot d\overline{l} = \oiint_s (\overline{\nabla} \times \overline{T}) \cdot d\overline{s} \neq 0$ 

## EE 381 Electric and Magnetic Fields Quiz #6 (Fall 2025)

Name KEY B

Instructions: Closed book & notes. Place answers in indicated spaces and show all work for full/partial credit.

For the vector field  $\overline{M} = 2r \hat{a}_r - \frac{9}{\sin \theta} \hat{a}_\theta$  (mugs/m), determine if  $\overline{M}$  is:

a) The divergence of  $\overline{M}$ .

$$\operatorname{div} \overline{M} = \overline{\nabla} \cdot \overline{M} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial \left(r^2 M_r\right)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \left(\sin \theta M_\theta\right)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial M_\phi}{\partial \phi}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial \left(2r^3\right)}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \left(-9\right)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \left(0\right)}{\partial \phi}$$

$$= \frac{2(3r^2)}{r^2} + 0 + 0 = 6$$

div  $\overline{M} = \overline{\nabla} \cdot \overline{M} = 6 \text{ (mugs/m}^2\text{)}$ 

b) The curl of  $\overline{M}$ .

$$\operatorname{curl} \overline{M} = \overline{\nabla} \times \overline{M}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \hat{a}_r \left\{ \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left[ \frac{\partial \left( \sin \theta M_{\phi} \right)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial M_{\theta}}{\partial \phi} \right] \right\} + \hat{a}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial M_r}{\partial \phi} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \left( r M_{\phi} \right)}{\partial r} \right] + \hat{a}_{\phi} \left\{ \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{\partial \left( r M_{\theta} \right)}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial M_r}{\partial \theta} \right] \right\} \\ &= \hat{a}_r \left\{ \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left[ \frac{\partial \left( 0 \right)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial \left( \frac{-9}{\sin \theta} \right)}{\partial \phi} \right] \right\} + \hat{a}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \left( 2r \right)}{\partial \phi} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \left( 0 \right)}{\partial r} \right] + \hat{a}_{\phi} \left\{ \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{\partial \left( \frac{-9r}{\sin \theta} \right)}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial \left( 2r \right)}{\partial \theta} \right] \right\} \\ &= \hat{a}_r \left\{ \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left[ 0 - 0 \right] \right\} + \hat{a}_{\theta} \left[ 0 - 0 \right] + \hat{a}_{\phi} \left\{ \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{-9}{\sin \theta} - 0 \right] \right\} = \frac{-9}{r \sin \theta} \hat{a}_{\phi} \end{split}$$

curl 
$$\overline{M} = \overline{\nabla} \times \overline{M} = \frac{-9}{r \sin \theta} \hat{a}_{\phi} \text{ (mugs/m}^2\text{)}$$

c) Is  $\overline{M}$  solenoidal (or divergenceless)? Yes / No (circle correct)

Why? 
$$\overline{\nabla} \cdot \overline{M} = 6 \text{ (mugs/m}^2) \neq 0$$

d) Is  $\overline{M}$  irrotational (or potential)? Yes / No (circle correct)

Why? 
$$\overline{\nabla} \times \overline{M} = \frac{-9}{r \sin \theta} \hat{a}_{\phi} \text{ (mugs/m}^2) \neq 0$$

e) Is  $\overline{M}$  conservative? Yes / **No** (circle correct)

Why? 
$$\nabla \times \overline{M} \neq 0$$
 implies by Stoke's theorem that  $\oint_L \overline{M} \cdot d\overline{l} = \oiint_s (\nabla \times \overline{M}) \cdot d\overline{s} \neq 0$