

Example- An unknown logic circuit with 4 inputs has the Truth Table (below) when tested. Earlier, we used a four variable K-Map to determine Boolean functions in the canonical sum-of-minterms and simplified sum-of-products forms for this unknown logic circuit.

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	F_2
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	1	1
0	1	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	1
0	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1
1	0	1	1	0
1	1	0	0	1
1	1	0	1	1
1	1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1	1

- The K-Map (below) was used to directly express F_2 in canonical sum-of-minterms form (**eight** terms and **32** literals).

$ab \backslash cd$	00	01	11	10
00	m_0	m_1	$m_3 = a'b'cd$	$m_2 = a'b'cd'$
01	m_4	m_5	$m_7 = a'bcd$	$m_6 = a'bcd'$
11	$m_{12} = abc'd'$	$m_{13} = abc'd$	$m_{15} = abcd$	m_{14}
10	m_8	m_9	m_{11}	$m_{10} = ab'cd'$

$$F_2 = \sum(2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 12, 13, 15)$$

$$= a'b'cd' + a'b'cd + a'bcd' + a'bcd + ab'cd' + abc'd' + abc'd + abcd$$

- Then, we used this K-Map to directly express F_2 in a simplified sum-of-products form which gave two answers.

$$\underline{F_{2,\text{simp1}} = a'c + b'cd' + abc' + bcd}$$

or

$$\underline{F_{2,\text{simp2}} = a'c + b'cd' + abc' + abd}$$

Now, we wish to express F_2 in canonical product-of-maxterms and simplified product-of-sums forms using K-Maps.

- To begin, we fill-in the K-Map from the Truth Table with “1”s and “0”s.

$ab \backslash cd$	00	01	11	10
00	0	0	1	1
01	0	0	1	1
11	1	1	1	0
10	0	0	0	1

- Next, we fill-in the minterms where there are “0”s and use the K-Map to directly express F_2' in canonical sum-of-minterms form (**eight** terms and **32** literals).

$ab \backslash cd$	00	01	11	10
00	$m_0 = a'b'c'd'$	$m_1 = a'b'c'd$	$\#_3$	$\#_2$
01	$m_4 = a'b'c'd'$	$m_5 = a'b'c'd$	$\#_7$	$\#_6$
11	$\#_{12}$	$\#_{13}$	$\#_{15}$	$m_{14} = abcd'$
10	$m_8 = ab'c'd'$	$m_9 = ab'c'd$	$m_{11} = ab'cd$	$\#_{10}$

$$F_2' = \sum(0,1,4,5,8,9,11,14)$$

$$= a'b'c'd' + a'b'c'd + a'bc'd' + a'bc'd + ab'c'd' + ab'c'd + ab'cd + abcd'$$

- Then, use this K-Map to directly express F_2' in a simplified sum-of-products form.

$ab \backslash cd$	00	01	11	10
00	$m_0 = a'b'c'd'$	$m_1 = a'b'c'd$	$\#_3$	$\#_2$
01	$m_4 = a'bc'd'$	$m_5 = a'bc'd$	$\#_7$	$\#_6$
11	$\#_{12}$	$\#_{13}$	$\#_{15}$	$m_{14} = abcd'$
10	$m_8 = ab'c'd'$	$m_9 = ab'c'd$	$m_{11} = ab'cd$	$\#_{10}$

- a) The upper left corner has four adjacent squares (m_0 , m_1 , m_4 , & m_5) which share the pair of literals/prime implicant $a'c'$ [we eliminated literals b , b' , d , & d']. Note that the prime implicant $a'c'$ is essential for minterms m_4 and m_5 .

$ab \backslash cd$	00	01	11	10
00	$m_0 = a'b'c'd'$	$m_1 = a'b'c'd$	$\#_3$	$\#_2$
01	$m_4 = a'bc'd'$	$m_5 = a'bc'd$	$\#_7$	$\#_6$
11	$\#_{12}$	$\#_{13}$	$\#_{15}$	$m_{14} = abcd'$
10	$m_8 = ab'c'd'$	$m_9 = ab'c'd$	$m_{11} = ab'cd$	$\#_{10}$

- b) The upper & lower left corners have four adjacent squares (m_0 , m_1 , m_8 , & m_9) which share the pair of literals/prime implicant $b'c'$ [we eliminated literals a , a' , d , & d']. Note that the prime implicant $b'c'$ is essential for minterm m_8 and that minterms m_0 & m_1 are used again.

$ab \backslash cd$	00	01	11	10
00	$m_0 = a'b'c'd'$	$m_1 = a'b'c'd$	$\#_3$	$\#_2$
01	$m_4 = a'bc'd'$	$m_5 = a'bc'd$	$\#_7$	$\#_6$
11	$\#_{12}$	$\#_{13}$	$\#_{15}$	$m_{14} = abcd'$
10	$m_8 = ab'c'd'$	$m_9 = ab'c'd$	$m_{11} = ab'cd$	$\#_{10}$

c) The row where $ab = 10$ has three minterms/squares in a row (m_8 , m_9 , & m_{11}). Since three is not a power of two and we have covered m_8 & m_9 already, we will group the two adjacent minterms/ squares m_9 & m_{11} which share the trio of literals/prime implicant $ab'd$ [eliminated literals c & c']. Note that the prime implicant $ab'd$ is essential for minterm m_{11} , but not for m_9 .

$ab \backslash cd$	00	01	11	10
00	$m_0 = a'b'c'd'$	$m_1 = a'b'c'd$	m_3	m_2
01	$m_4 = a'bc'd'$	$m_5 = a'bc'd$	m_7	m_6
11	m_{12}	m_{13}	m_{15}	$m_{14} = abcd'$
10	$m_8 = ab'c'd'$	$m_9 = ab'c'd$	$m_{11} = abc'd$	m_{10}

d) The last remaining minterm/square $m_{14} = abcd'$ is not adjacent to any other minterm/square. Therefore, it cannot be simplified.

e) Therefore, the simplified sum-of-products forms for F_2' is-

$$\underline{F_{2,\text{simp}}' = a'c' + b'c' + ab'd + abcd'}$$

This simplified Boolean function has **four** terms and **11** literals [as opposed to **eight** terms and **32** literals].

- Now, we can take the complement of $F_{2,\text{simp}}'$ to express F_2 in a simplified product-of-sums form by using DeMorgan's Theorem (i.e., take dual and then complement each literal).

$$\underline{F_{2,\text{simp}} = (F_{2,\text{simp}}')' = (a'c' + b'c' + ab'd + abcd')' = (a + c)(b + c)(a' + b + d')(a' + b' + c' + d)}$$

Note, like the simplified sum-of-products forms of F_2 , the simplified product-of-sums form also **four** terms and **11** literals [as opposed to **eight** terms and **32** literals].

Check this result using a Truth Table to show that it agrees.

$$F_{2,\text{simp}} = (a + c)(b + c)(a' + b + d')(a' + b' + c' + d)$$

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a'</i>	<i>b'</i>	<i>c'</i>	<i>d'</i>	<i>a+c</i>	<i>b+c</i>	<i>a'+b+d'</i>	<i>a'+b'+c'+d</i>	<i>F_{2,simp}</i>	<i>F₂</i>
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1